

|   |                                      |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/923,107 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>YERAZUNIS ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Shefali D Patel   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2621                 |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Shefali D Patel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Andrew J Curtin (Reg. No. 48, 485).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 February 2005
**Time:** 3:30pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

1-29

**Prior art documents discussed:**

none

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The examiner called Mr. Curtin for an election over the phone, however, Mr. Curtin requested to have the election/restriction mailed. Therefore, this did not result in an election made over the phone.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)